

Appendix B. Tree Evaluation Method for Preservation Orders (TEMPO) assessment
**TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION
ORDERS - TEMPO**

Date: 01.02.23	Surveyor: Vanessa Lyons
----------------	----------------------------

Tree details TPO Ref 469 Owner (if known): 45 Dore Road	Tree/Group Location: T1 T2	Species: Beech
---	-------------------------------	----------------

REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair/satisfactory Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* Unsuitable

** Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only*

Score & Notes :
3

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10* Unsuitable

**Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality*

Score & Notes
2

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable
- 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable
- 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable

Score & Notes
4

1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

Score & Notes 1
--

5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees

4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion

3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance

2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual

1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)

-1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

Score & Notes 3. Many mature trees on site have been removed. Of those retained, several have been damaged during construction (trenching/ compaction/ spoil piled in root

5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice

3) Foreseeable threat to tree

2) Perceived threat to tree

1) Precautionary only

Part 3: Decision guide

- | | |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO |
| 1-6 | TPO indefensible |
| 7-11 | Does not merit TPO |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible |
| 16+ | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total: 13

Decision: TPO defensible

Appendix C. Images of the tree



Image of T1 taken from within the garden.



Image of T1 taken from Dore Road



Image of T2 taken from Dore Road.



Images of T1 and T2 taken from Dore Road.